Cation Positions in Cerium X Zeolites

F. D. HUNTER AND J. SCHERZER

Research Division and Davison Chemical *Division, W. R.* Grace *and Co., Washington Research Center, Clarksville, Maryland 21029*

Received July 1, 1970

The cation positions and the resulting changes, which were caused by the replacement of sodium ions by cerium ions, in the zeolite framework of cerium exchanged 13X-type molecular sieves, 0.3 Na₄O \cdot 13.0 Ce₂O_s \cdot 44.0 Al₄O_s \cdot 104.0 SiO₂ \cdot n H_zO, have been determined by structural studies using X-ray powder data. In the exchanged sieve $(a_0 = 25.10 \pm 0.02 \text{ Å})$, in which nearly all of the remaining 2% of sodium oxide has been removed by $(NH₁)₂SO₄$ exchange, cerium ions were found to partially occupy site 54 in the large cavity and site 52 in the sodalite cage. When this material was calcined at 540°C in a nitrogen atmosphere $(a_0 = 24.94 \pm 0.02 \text{ Å})$, the cerium cations were found to migrate to sites Sl, S2, and S5 with the majority of the cations being in S2. Site Sl is in the hexagonal prism and is the least accessible site.

Upon dehydration of the material at 540°C in air $(a_0 = 24.86 \pm 0.02 \text{ Å})$, however, the cations moved to sites 81 and 52 only, with the majority of them being in S2 sites. The three cations (on the average) per sodalite cage which occupied S2 sites displayed a strong repulsion for each other. This repulsion resulted in a significant distortion of the sodalite cage. The greater cationic repulsion observed when calcination was done in air versus a much lesser repulsion when calcination was done in a nitrogen atmosphere is due to the oxidation of at least 50% of the Ce³⁺ cations to the $4+$ oxidation state.

For the hydrated sample the occupancy factor of S4 sites is 0.66. The population parameter of 52 is 0.69 and 0.74 for the nitrogen calcined zeolite and for the air calcined zeolite with the corresponding closest Ce-0 distances for this site being 2.56 and 2.66 A, respective!y.

INTRODUCTION

Synthetic zeolites *X* and *Y,* which have the same framework structure as the natural mineral faujasite $(1-5)$, possess favorable catalytic properties that make them useful in the petroleum industry (6) . These synthetic zeolites which are usually prepared in the sodium form are exchanged with polyvalent cations or hydrogen to render sieves with superior catalytic activity (7, 8). One group of polyvalent cations which have been found to give a material of high stability and activity are the rare earth metals.

In order to gain a better understanding of the properties of these materials, it is necessary to know the positions of the cations in the zeolites. Both X and Y zeolites are cubic and belong to the space group *Fd3m.* The faujasite framework is made of $Si(Al)-O₄$ tetrahedrons which are linked together by the sharing of oxygen atoms so that there are always two oxygen atoms for each Si(A1) atom. These tetrahedrons are joined to form a truncated cube-octahedral unit which is termed the "sodalite cage or unit" and which consists of eight hexagonal rings containing six oxygen atoms each [six-membered rings (6MR)] and six square rings with four oxygen atoms [four-membered rings $(4MR)$]. The basic formula for the sodalite unit is $Si (Al)_{24}O_{36}$. Each sodalite cage is joined to four others in a tetrahedral arrangement. These cages are joined via six oxygens that connect two 6-membered rings

Atom	Occupancy parameter	X	Y	Z	T
T(Si, Al)	1.0	$-0.0560(15)$	Cerium X hydrate 0.1218(10)	0.0353(21)	0.40
O(1)	1.0	0.0000	0.1136(23)	$-0.1136(23)$	2.00
	1.0	$-0.0056(34)$	$-0.0056(34)$	0.1414(24)	2.00
O(2)	1.0				
O(3)		0.1662(20)	0.1662(20)	$-0.0213(35)$	1.97(2.9)
O(4)	1.0	0.1830(22)	0.1830(22)	0.3149(31)	1.00
$O_w(1)(S1)$	0.71(12)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	5.14(6.9)
Ce(2)(S2)	0.28(2)	0.0581(11)	0.0581(11)	0.0581(11)	4.13(2.1)
$O_w(3)(83)$	1.00	0.1748(29)	0.1748(29)	0.1748(29)	1.50
Ce(4)(S4)	0.66(2)	0.2393(5)	0.2393(5)	0.2393(5)	1.55(0.7)
			Cerium X calcined in nitrogen		
T(Si, AI)	1.0	0.0567(7)	0.1219(7)	0.0314(10)	0.06(0.6)
O(1)	1.0	0.0000	0.1070(12)	$-0.1070(12)$	3.14(1.5)
O(2)	1.0	$-0.0035(14)$	$-0.0035(14)$	0.1296(13)	0.59(1.1)
O(3)	1.0	0.1767(13)	0.1767(13)	$-0.0369(15)$	1.11(1.2)
O(4)	1.0	0.1696(12)	0.1696(12)	0.3154(21)	0.36(1.6)
$\text{Cal}(S1)$	0.26(1)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	2.82(2.3)
Ce2(S2)	0.71(1)	0.0650(3)	0.0650(3)	0.0650(3)	2.08(0.4)
$O_w(2)(U)$	0.18(14)	0.1250	0.1250	0.1250	3.50
Ce(5)(S5)	0.16(1)	0.5000	0.5000	0.5000	2.50
			Cerium X calcined in air		
T(Si, Al)	1.0	$-0.0598(15)$	0.1264(8)	0.0350(9)	0.37(0.8)
O(1)	1.0	0.0000	0.1160(19)	$-0.1160(19)$	0.31(2.2)
O(2)	1.0	$-0.0256(20)$	$-0.0256(20)$	0.1280(18)	3.17(2.6)
O(3)	1.0	0.1680(14)	0.1680(14)	$-0.0439(24)$	2.38(1.9)
O(4)	1.0	0.1812(16)	0.1812(16)	0.3036(25)	0.89(1.9)
Ce(1)(S1)	0.20(2)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	1.50
Ce(2)(S2)	0.74(1)	0.0565(4)	0.0565(4)	0.0565(4)	3.40(0.6)
$O_w(2)(U)$	0.78(18)	0.1250	0.1250	0.1250	2,29(9,1)

TABLE 1 ATOMIC COORDINATES[®] FOR CERIUM X SIEVES[®]

 α Origin at $\overline{3}$ M.

 δ Estimated standard deviations (σ) are in parentheses.

or hexagonal faces to form a hexagonal prism (D6MR). The bridging oxygens are generally labeled $O(1)$ (see Table 1). The 12 oxygen atoms comprising the two 6MR of the hexagonal prism are conventionally termed $O(2)$ and $O(3)$. This tetrahedral arrangement of sodalite cages results in large cavities having rings of 12 oxygen atoms $[12$ -membered rings- $(12MR)$]. Entrances into these cavities from within the sodalite unit are through the second set of tetrahedrally arranged 6MR which are composed of oxygens labeled O(2) and $O(4)$.

In general, there are six sites $(9, 10)$ available to nonframework ions (see Fig. 1). These sites all have coordinates xxx and are: (1) Sl which is in the center of the hexagonal prism; (2) S2 which is within the sodalite cage approximately 1 A from the 6MR that comprises the hexagonal prism; (3) U which is in the center of the sodalite cage; (4) S3 which is within the sodalite cage approximately 1 Å from the center of the 6MR which opens into the large cavity; (5) S4 in the large pore about 1 Å from the center of the 6MR of S3; and (6) S5 which is in the center of the 12 membered ring.

Olson et al. (10) have reported the structures of cerium exchanged natural faujasite and lanthanum X. Cerium ions

FIG. 1. Projection of the sodalite cage for faujasite showing the available nonframework sites. Site 5 is in the center of the 12MR and is not shown here.

were found to occupy S5 sites and to be randomly located in the supercages in hydrated cerium exchanged natural faujasite. Upon calcination all the cerium ions migrated to 52 sites in the sodalite cage. In hydrated lanthanum X, lanthanum ions occupy sites S2 and 54 with water being in S3. Calcination results in the movement of all the lanthanum ions to 52. Smith et al. (9) have reported the cation positions for calcined LaY at room temperature and 725°C. Lanthanum occupies S2 sites at room temperature and at 725°C some of the cations migrate to sites Sl and S4. Bennett and Smith $(11-14)$ have published a series of articles on lanthanum exchanged natural faujasite and hydrated LaX. They found lanthanum ions to occupy sites S2 and S5; and 52, S4, and S5; respectively. They studied calcined samples of both materials at several temperatures and found in all cases that lanthanum ion occupied Sl, 52, and S4 sites. We have studied the cation distribution in CeX sieves because we were interested in discerning whether this distribution would be any different or the structure altered in any manner due to the ease of oxidation of Ce³⁺ to Ce⁴⁺.

METHODS

Since single crystals of synthetic faujasite are quite difficult to obtain, it was necessary to use the less accurate powder techniques in which non-unique reflections must be utilized. In order to understand the structural changes which occur during each step of the preparation of the material, CeX sieves were studied (1) in the hydrated form, (2) in the activated form after calcination in a nitrogen atmosphere, (3) in the activated form after calcination in air. The samples were prepared from a commercial NaX sieve which is manufactured by the Davison Division of the W. R. Grace & Co. The starting NaX sieve was thoroughly washed with deionized water to remove excess caustic and other water-soluble impurities. The unit cell composition of the NaX sieve as determined by chemical analysis was 42.2 Na₂O \cdot 44.0 $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \cdot 103.9 \text{SiO}_2 \cdot n\text{H}_2\text{O}$. The cerium chloride used for the exchanges was obtained from the American Potash & Chemical Co. (purity of 99%). To prepare the hydrated CeX sieve, the NaX starting material was exchanged by contact with an excess of

cerium (III) chloride solution. The exchange was carried out at the boiling temperature of the solution in a nitrogen atmosphere. Hydrogen was bubbled through the cerium chloride solution prior to the exchange. The cerium exchange of the molecular sieve was repeated under the same circumstances with a fresh cerium chloride solution. The resulting cake was washed and then calcined at 540°C for 2 hr in a flow of dry nitrogen. The sieve obtained still contained 1.2% Na₂O. The remaining sodium ions were ammonium exchanged by contacting the sieve with an excess of ammonium sulfate solution at boiling temperature under nitrogen. The resulting white material was finally washed and dried at 100°C. This material is the sample which is termed CeX hydrate.

The nitrogen calcined CeX sieve, $Ce^{3+}X$, was made by heating the CeX hydrate material at 540°C for 2 hr in a flow of dry nitrogen. A gray-white powder resulted. The air calcined CeX sieve $(Ce^{4+}, Ce^{3+})X$, was obtained by calcining CeX hydrate at 540°C for 2 hr in a muffle furnace in contact, with the atmosphere. A yellowish material resulted. The samples were analyzed by X-ray spectroscopy for the alumina, silica, and rare earth content and by flame photometry for the sodium content. The results showed that the unit cell formula was $0.3 \text{ Na}_2\text{O} \cdot 13.0 \text{ Ce}_2\text{O}_3 \cdot 44.0$ $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \cdot 104.0 \text{ SiO}_2 \cdot n\text{H}_2\text{O}$. Since the univalent cation equivalent of the cerium ions does not equal the number of aluminum ions, it was assumed that the excess negative charges are compensated by hydrogen ions resulting from the ammonium sulfate exchange. Chemical analysis also indicated that in the air calcined sample at least 507% of the cerium ions had been oxidized to the tetravalent state.

The unit cell values were 25.10 ± 0.02 , 24.94 ± 0.02 , and 24.86 ± 0.02 Å for the hydrated, N_2 calcined, and air calcined samples, respectively. The X-ray diffraction intensity data on the hydrated sample were collected on a Norelco powder diffractometer equipped with a Geiger counter as a detector. On the other two samples a Hamner solid state detection system equipped with a scintillation counter and pulse height analyzer was employed. X-Ray scans were taken using Cu K_{α} radiation, 0.006-in. receiving slit, and a scanning speed of $\frac{1}{8}^{\circ}/$ minute. The two theta region between 5 to 65° was scanned at three different attenuations, 1000/2, 500/4, and 200 counts/8 set, in order to record the strongest, and the weakest reflections. A total of 96 observations, some of which were combinations of several reflections, could be recorded in this range. The X-ray peaks were planimetered at least three times each to obtain the average area. Whenever a particular observation was rccorded at more than one attenuation, the average value of the two or three observation was used as the intensity of that peak. All the samples used were equilibrated with the atmosphere before data collection was commenced.

Three-Dimensional Fourier Analyses and Least-Squares Refinement

The three-dimensional Fourier program used was written by Dr. F. R. Ahmed (15) of the National Research Council of Canada. Only those observations which were due to single reflections or to the coincidence of two reflections were used in computing the initial electron density maps. A series of computer programs written by Mr. R. Coelho of our research center and one of us (FDH) for the IBM 360 Model 30 were used to reduce the observed intensities to observed structure factors. The structure factors were assigned the algebraic signs calculated on the basis of the framework alone.

The least-squares refinement program employed was written by Dr. Walter C. Hamilton (16) of Brookhaven National Laboratory and is a general program to refine powder pattern data for any structure for which the appropriate subroutine defining the observations in terms of the structural parameters has been written. Such subroutines were written by one of us (FDH) for the three structures under study. This program was run on the Univac 1108 computer at the University of Maryland through the cooperation of Dr. James Stewart. Since in these structures there were 34 or more parameters, the framework parameters only and the extra-framework parameters alone were varied alternatively in successive least-squares cycles in order to keep the over-determination ratio as large as possible. Site occupancy factors and temperature factors were never varied in the same cycle because they are closely correlated.

Refinement was performed on the weighted intensities where the weighting scheme used was that of Cruickshank (17)

$$
w = 1/(a + b F_{o} + c F_{o}^{2}),
$$

with all the coefficients adjusted to give the best weighting scheme for each set of data. The quantity minimized was $\sum w (I_{obs} - I_{calc})^2$. In these equations w is the weight, I_{obs} and I_{calc} are observed and calculated intensities, and F_o is the observed structure factor, which in this case was replaced by the observed intensity. The tetrahedral scattering factors employed were the weighted average of $f_{\text{Si2+}}$, interpolated from $f_{\rm Si}$ and $f_{\rm Si3+}$, and $f_{\rm Al+}$, (18) with the weights assigned according to the silicon to aluminum ratio of 1.182 as determined by X-ray spectroscopy. For oxygen and cerium, f_{o-} and f_{Ce} form factors were used (18).

RESULTS

A. Cerium X Hydrate

positions reported by Olson et al. (10) for a mixture of water molecules and cerium lanthanum X hydrate were used as starting ions (about two cerium ions/unit cell). values. The Fourier map calculated on the Cerium in site 52 is coordinated to the basis of the signs resulting from the frame- three $O(3)$ s of the sodalite 6MR belonging work alone showed extra peaks besides to the hexagonal prism and to the water those assigned to the metal cations. These oxygen occupying Sl with distances of 2.19 peaks were attributed to water molecules. and 2.53 A respectively. This cerium ion is Refinement was terminated at an $R(I)$ also coordinated by three water oxygens value of 0.151, where $R(I) = \left[\sum w(I_{obs} - \text{ from } S3 \text{ as second nearest neighbors at a}\right]$ I_{calc})²/ $\sum w (I_{\text{obs}}^2)$ ^{1/2}. The final atomic co- distance of 2.99 Å. This coordination is ordinates, thermal parameters and occu- similar to that found in calcined LaX and ordinates, thermal parameters and occupancies are given in Table 1. The occupancy hydrated LaX (10) , where in the former of the water molecule in S3 was fixed at the La^{3+} in S2 is surrounded by three lat-1.00, since any refinement of it led to a tice oxygens and three water oxygcns all

higher value. A value of the occupancy factor greater than 1.00 would indicate that this site may be occupied by a mixture of water molecules and cerium ions. The observed and calculated intensities and structure factors are given in Table 2. A projection of the sodalite cage for this structure is given in Fig. 2.

Cerium ions were found to occupy sites S2 and 54 with occupancy parameters of 0.28 and 0.66. Unlike the lanthanum X (10) case no cerium ions were found to occupy 55 sites. Site Sl was found to be partially occupied by water and as men-

FIG. 2. View of the sodalite cage for CeX hydrate, in projection down the cell edge. Some of the $O(1)$ and O(2) atoms have been omitted for clarity.

The framework parameters and cation tioned above, S3 seems to be occupied by

at 2.5 ± 0.1 Å. The cerium ions in S4 have three $O(2)$ s as nearest neighbors at a distance of 2.52 Å and three $O(4)$ s and one $O_w(3)$ as next nearest neighbors at distances of 2.75 and 2.80 Å, respectively. All the framework oxygens are from the 6MR opening into the supercage.

The average silicon or aluminum to oxygen distance of 1.73 ± 0.07 Å is somewhat long compared to other values which have been reported $(3-5,10)$ and compared to the value of 1.676 reported by Jones (19) for feldspars of the same silicon to aluminum ratio. However, this value is still within 1σ of the value reported by Jones.

B. Cerium X Calcined in a Nitrogen Atmosphere, Ce3+X

The framework parameters reported by Olson *et al.* (10) for calcined lanthanum X were used to calculate the signs of the observed structure factors from which the Fourier map was computed. Three crystallographically different cerium peaks and one water peak were detected. Initially two of the three cerium peaks (Sl and S5) were thought to be water, but least-squares refinement of the complete structure strongly indicated that these peaks must be due to cerium ions. Refinement was terminated at an $R(I)$ value of 0.105. The final coordinates, thermal parameters and occupancy factors are given in Table 1. A projection of the sodalite unit for this structure is given in Fig. 3.

Cerium ions were found to occupy Sl, S2, and S5 sites with population parameters of 0.26, 0.71, and 0.16. Site U was found to be occupied by roughly one water molecule per unit cell. However, as shown in Table 1, the large standard deviation of the population parameter for this site makes its occupancy uncertain. The cerium ions in S2 sites are coordinated to three $O(3)$ s at distances of 2.56(4) Å. Cerium in site S1 coordinated to all six of the $O(3)s$ of the DGMR at a distance of 2.74(3) A each. The $Ce(2)-Ce(2)$ distance is $4.23(1)$ Å.

FIG. 3. View of the sodalite cage for CeX calcined in nitrogen, in projection down the cell edge. Some of the $O(1)$ and $O(2)$ atoms have been omitted for clarity.

The framework coordinates changed only slightly from the values reported for LaX (10). The average of the tetrahedral (Si, Al)–O bond lengths is 1.63 Å with (Si, Al) – $O(1)$ distance of 1.53 Å being rather short to the standard value of 1.676 Å

C. Cerium X Calcined in Air, $(Ce^{4+}, Ce^{3+})X$

From an electron density map calculated in the same manner as the one for ihc nitrogen calcined sample, two cerium peaks and one nonframework oxygen peak were detected. The cerium peaks occurred at sites Sl and S2 and the oxygen peak at site U. Refinement of this model using the framework parameters and the extraframework coordinates selected from the Fourier map would not proceed below an R value of 0.34 when the refinement was attempted by the same procedure as that used on the first two samples. This procedure consisted of refining the extra-framework parameters only until the R value dropped below 0.23 and then in alternating cycles refining the framework parameters and the extra-framework parameters. Since initial refinement of the extra-framework

HUNTER AND SCHERZER

TABLE 2. INTENSITIES AND STRUCTURE FACTORS FOR CERIOM X ZEOLITES

parameters terminated at this high R value, it was decided at this stage to refine the framework parameters relatively earlier. Two cycles of least-squares refinement resulted in remarkable shifts in the framework parameters and a drop of the R value to 0.239. Refinement then proceeded in a normal manner and was terminated at an R value of 0.157. The final coordinates, thermal parameters and occupancy factors are listed in Table 1. A projection of the sodalite cage of the air calcined structure is given in Fig. 4.

The occupancy numbers for cerium in

CEHIUM X ZEOLITES

TABLE 2 (Continued)

NOTE - AN ASTERISK (*) DENOTES AN UNDESERVED REFLECTION

Sl and 52 sites are 0.20 and 0.74, respec- Table 4). The distance of closest approach tively. On the average 6 out of the avail- for these cerium ions is 4.82(2) A. Cerium able 8 U sites/unit cell are occupied by non- in site S1 has as its nearest neighbors the framework oxygen. The cerium ions in S2 six $O(3)$ s of the D6MR at distance of sites are coordinated to three $O(3)$ s at dis- 3.09(4) Å each. tances of 2.66(6) A and the oxygen species As stated earlier, the framework atoms

six $O(3)$ s of the D6MR at distance of

in site U at a distance of 2.954(9) \AA (see underwent significant shifts with $O(2)$

 \mathbf{F} Fig. 4. View of the sodalite cage for CeX calcined in air, in projection down the cell edge. Some of the O(1) and O(2) atoms have been omitted for clarity.

moving as much as 0.5 Å in the x and y directions. The average $(Si, A1)$ -O distances is 1.67 Å with $(Si, Al) - O(2)$ being $1.73(6)$ Å and $(Si, Al)-O(4)$ being 1.61(4) A. Since these differences in distances are within 2σ of the average value and the standard (Si,Al)-0 distance sighted earlier, no physical significance can be attributed to them.

DISCUSSION

The average of the $(Si,A1)$ –O distances for the three structures are 1.73 , 1.63 , and 1.67 Å for hydrated CeX, Ce³⁺X and 1.67 Å for hydrated CeX, $Ce^{3+}X$ $(Ce^{4+}, Ce^{3+})X$, respectively. Smith and Bailey (20) have recommended 1.61 and 1.75 A as the distances to be used for pure Si-0 and Al-O bond lengths, respectively. Jones (19) has proposed 1.603 and 1.761 Å as the corresponding distances. Eulenberger et al. (5) have stated that considerable differences up to approximately O.lA occur belween different (Si,Al)-0 bond lengths of a particular tetrahedron. They emphasize further, that the $O-(Si, Al)-O$ and particularly the $(Si, Al)-O-(Si, Al)$ angles are more flexible and that for the latter, values between 120 and 180° have been observed. With the exception of the 1.53 A distance

reported here for $Ce^{3+}X$ (see Table 3), all the results of this work fall within the rather broad limits specified by the above authors. Values of 1.76 and 1.53A for (Si,Al)-0 distances in the same tetrahedrons were reported by Broussard and Shoemaker (3) for the 5A-type sieve. It is quite probable that such large variations in the $(Si, A1)$ –O distances reported in the present paper could be due to the less accurate powder techniques and the resulting errors in least-squares refinement of the data. However, in some instances as indicated below, physical significance can be attached to these differences, thus indicating that some of them are probably real.

In all three cases studied the average of the four (Si,Al)-0 distances of 1.63, 1.67, and 1.73 Å agreed within 2σ with the value of 1.676 reported by Jones (19) for feldspars of the same silicon: aluminum ratio.

Since the hydrated CeX sample studied in this work had previously been calcined after initial exchange and then exchanged with ammonium sulfate, it would not be proper to compare the results obtained for this sample with the cerium faujasite of Olson et al. (10) in which cerium ions, sodium, magnesium, and calcium ions were all present and the sample had undergone partial exchange only. One can however with some justification compare our (intermediately calcined) CeX hydrate with LaX hydrate which had undergone batch exchange only and had a 0.2-mole fraction of Na,O per unit cell. In LaX hydrate Olson *et al.* found that per unit cell there were 12, 17, and 4 atoms in sites 52, S4, and S5, respectively, whereas in CeX hydrate there are 9.0 and 21.4 Ce atoms in sites 2 and 4, respectively. Since in LaX there were 33 cations whereas in CeX there were only 30 as determined by diffraction, the fact that no Ce3+ was found in site 5 could be easily explained by assuming that the difference is really due to a larger number of cations in the LaX case and to experimental error.

An unusual feature of the CeX hydrate structure is the close approach of the cerium ions in $S2$ to the $O(3)$ s of the

Atom set	$CeX \cdot nH_2O$	$Ce^{3+}X$	$(Ce^{4+}, Ce^{3+})X$
		Distances (\check{A})	
$T=O(1.2)$	1.71(6)	1.53(3)	1.67(5)
$T=O(2.3)$	1.70(9)	1.60(4)	1.73(6)
$T - O(3.13)$	1.77(8)	1.68(4)	1.68(4)
$T=O(4.11)$	1.74(6)	1.72(4)	1.61(4)
$O(1)$ – $O(2.15)$	2.80(10)	2.64(5)	2.36(7)
$O(1)$ - $O(3.12)$	3.21(8)	2.67(4)	2.84(6)
$O(1) - O(4.17)$	2.71(7)	2.75(4)	2.82(6)
$O(2) - O(3.13)$	2.70(10)	2.52(5)	$2.88(7)^{b}$
$O(2)-O(4.7)$.	2.57(10)	2.79(5)	2.79(6)
O(3)–(4.14)	2.75(8)	2.52(5)	2.50(5)
$T-T(.6)$	2.93(6)	2.78(3)	2.92(4)
		Angles $(°)$	
$T(.1) - O(1) - T(.5)$	118.3(3.3)	131.6(2.1)	122.2(2.7)
$T(.4) - O(2) - T(.3)$	144.4(5.4)	152.9(2.6)	148.8(3.3)
$T(.9) - O(3) - T(.8)$	119.8(4.1)	144.6(2.4)	152.2(3.3)
$T(.10)-O(4)-T(.11)$	141.9(4.4)	165.4(2.8)	151.1(3.6)
$O(1.2)$ -T- $O(2.3)$	110.6(3.8)	115.6(2.0)	87.8(2.4)
$O(1.2)$ -T- $O(3.13)$	134.9(3.6)	112.8(1.9)	117.7(2.6)
$O(1.2) - T - O(4.11)$	103.6(3.3)	115.4(2.0)	119.0(2.7)
$O(2.3)$ -T- $O(3.13)$	101.8(4.0)	100.4(2.0)	120.9(2.8)
$O(2.3)$ -T- $O(4.11)$	96.6(3.9)	114.2(2.2)	112.8(2.8)
$O(3.13) - T - O(4.11)$	102.9(3.6)	95.5(2.0)	$100.0(2.8)^c$

TABLE 3 FRAMEWORK INTERATOMIC DISTANCES AND ANGLES FOR CERIUM X ZEOLITES⁴

^a Atom designations are as follows: T is for silicon (aluminum). $O(M.N)$ refers to the Mth oxygen transformed by the nth symmetry element. The symmetry operations are: (1) zyx ; (2) yzz ; (3) xzy ; (4) zxy ; (5) $\bar{z}\bar{x}\bar{y}$; (6) $\bar{y}\bar{z}\bar{z}$; (7) x , $1/4 - z$, $1/4 - y$; (8) $1/4 - z$, $1/4 - y$, x ; (9) $1/4 - y$, $1/4 - z$, x ; (10) y , $1/4 - z$, $1/4 - x$; (11) $1/4 - z$, y, $1/4 - x$; (12) $1/4 - x$, $1/4 - y$, z; (13) z, $1/4 - x$, $1/4 - y$; (14) x, $1/4 - y$, $1/4 - z$; (15) $1/4 - x$, y, $1/4 - z$; (16) $3/4 + x$, $3/4 + y$, \overline{z} ; (17) $3/4 + x$, $3/4 + z$, \overline{y} ; (18) \overline{x} \overline{y} ; (19) \overline{z} , $3/4 + x$, $3/4 + y$. b O(2)–O(3.16).

 c O(3.13)-T-O(4.11).

sodalite 6MR which opens into the D6MR. The distance of cerium to this oxygen is $2.19(9)$ Å and as compared to LaX hydrate represents a shift of 0.41 A for the cerium ion along the body diagonal of the cubic cell toward site Sl. Since this distance is 3.7σ shorter than the other Ce-O distance in the structure, it is in the region of significance. Also, it is considerably less than the sum of the ionic radius of Ce^{3+} and the van der Waals radius of oxygen which is roughly 2.4 ± 0.1 Å. If S3 is actually occupied by 2 cerium ions/unit cell, the resulting repulsion could cause this shortening of the $Ce(2)-O(3)$ distance.

Since Sl is occupied by water with a population parameter of 0.71, there are on

the average 11.4 water molecules/unit cell in these sites. Considering that there are 9 cerium ions/unit cell in S2 sites, the most likely distribution would be for a water molecule to be in Sl for each neighboring S2 site that is occupied.

Except for the shift in $O(3)$ the framework coordinates for CeX hydrate are quite similar to those for LaX hydrate. It is significant that this shift in $O(3)$ results in a lengthening of the Si-O(3) bond to 1.77 A and hence giving support to the short $Ce(2)-O(3)$ distance.

The cation positions observed for CeX calcined in a N_2 atmosphere are somewhat different from those found by Olson *et al.* (10) for LaX calcined in vacuum. They ob-

Atom set ^a	$CeX \cdot nH_2O$	$Ce^{3+}X$	$(Ce^{4+}, Ce^{3+})X$
	Distances (\AA)		
$O_w(1) - Ce(2)$	2.53(3)		
$O_w(1) - O(3.12)$	3.02(5)		
$Ce(1)-S2$		2.812(8)	2.434(9)
$Ce(1) - O(3.12)$		2.74(3)	3.09(4)
$Ce(2)-O(2)$		2.91(4)	
$Ce(2)-O(3.12)$	2.19(9)	2.56(4)	2.66(6)
$Ce(2)-O_w(2)$		2.592(8)	2.954(9)
$Ce(2)-O_w(3.14)$	2.99(8)		
$Ce(2)-Ce(2.12)$		4.23(1)	4.82(2)
$O_w(3)-O(2.12)$	2.99(11)		
$O_w(3) - Ce(4)$	2.80(7)		
$Ce(4)-O(4)$	2.75(7)		
$Ce(4)-O(2.12)$	2.52(6)		
	Angles $(°)$		
$Ce(2)-O_w(1)-O(3.12)$	45.5(1.4)		
$Ce(2)-O_w(1)-O(3.16)$	134.5(1.4)		
$O(3.12) - O_w(1) - O(3.13)$	76.2(1.8)		
$O(3.12) - O_w(1) - O(3.19)$	103.7(1.8)		
$O(3.12) - Ce(1) - O(3.13)$		90.2(1.0)	91.8(1.2)
$O(3.12) - Ce(1) - O(3.19)$		89.8(1.0)	88.2(1.2)
$O(3.12) - Ce(1) - S2$		125.2(0.7)	124.0(0.9)
$O_w(1)$ -Ce(2)-O(3.12)	79.2(1.9)		
$O_w(1) - Ce(2) - O_w(3.14)$	137.0(1.8)		
$O(3.12) - Ce(2) - O(3.13)$	116.6(2.7)	98.8(1.1)	113.2(1.4)
$Q(3.12) - Ce(2) - O_w(3.14)$	78.5(2.4)		
$O_w(3.14) - Ce(2) - O_w(3.15)$	72.5(2.0)		
$S(1)$ -Ce (2) -O (3.12)		61.2(0.8)	74.5(1.0)
$O(2)$ -Ce (2) -O (2.4)		107.8(1.0)	
$O(2)$ -Ce (2) -S (1)		68.9(0.7)	
$O(2)$ -Ce (2) -O (3.12)		130.1(1.0)	
$O(2)$ -Ce (2) -O (3.13)		54.4(1.0)	
$O(2)$ -Ce (2) -O _w (2)		111.1(0.7)	
$O(3.12) - Ce(2) - O_w(2)$		118.8(0.8)	105.5(1.0)
$Ce(2)-O_w(2)-Ce(2.12)$		109.5(0.3)	109.5(0.2)
$Ce(4)-O_w(3)-Ce(2.14)$	113.6(2.5)		
$Ce(4)-O_w(3)-O(2.12)$	51.6(2.0)		
$O(2.12) - O_w(3) - O(2.13)$	85.4(2.8)		
$O_w(3)$ -Ce(4)-O(4)	78.8(2.0)		
$O_w(3)-Ce(4)-O(2.12)$	68.0(2.0)		
$O(2.12) - Ce(4) - O(2.13)$	106.8(2.6)		
$O(4)$ -Ce(4)-O(2.12)	146.7 (2.3)		
$O(4)$ -Ce(4)-O(4.4)	116.3(1.9)		

TABLE 4 NONFHAMEWORK ATOM INTERATOMIC DISTANCES AND ANGLES FOR CERIUM X ZEOLITES

a Symmetry operations are the same as those designated in Table 3.

served that all the La3+ ions migrated to 52 view of the errors which could exist due to sites/unit cell. For N_2 calcined CeX, which certain. Smith *et al.* (9) found that in could also be termed Ce³⁺X, approximately vacuum calcined LaY all 16 La³⁺ ions occould also be termed $Ce³⁺X$, approximately

sites upon calcination with a total of 30 the use of powder data instead of single lanthanum ions occupying the 32 possible crystal data, the occupancy of S5 is not sites/unit cell. For N_2 calcined CeX, which certain. Smith *et al.* (9) found that in 23 ions are in S2, 4 in Sl, and 2.6 in S5. In cupied S2 sites. One may wonder why this difference in cation distribution occurs between materials which would be expected to have quite similar structures. Since in LaX S3 sites were occupied by water and in LaY both S3 and U sites arc populated by an oxygen species, this difference in cationic distribution could bc dur to the lack of charge neutralizing hpdroxyls or screening by water molecules in the CeX case. This lack of water or extra-framework oxygen is the result of performing the caleination in a nitrogen atmosphere which was generated by passing a stream of N_2 gas over the sample. This procedure thus removed all the steam produced from heating the initially hydrated sample and prcvented the trapping of an oxygen species in site U. Calculations of the coulombic energy resulting from having three Ce^{3+} cations in each sodalite cage and considering only interactions of these ions with each other and with the net 36 oxygen atoms which serve as nearest neighbors show that indeed this distribut'ion is a stable one, since there is a net attractive energy of 2.9 cal/sodalite unit. This calculation is based upon a rough model which divides the 88 available negative charges over the 384 available oxygen atoms: thus giving each oxygen atom a net negative charge of 0.229 e. Performing the same type of calculations for Smith's LaY, where now each oxygen would have net a negative charge of $0.142 e$, indicates that a net repulsive force would exist without the hydroxyl ions at site U. In view of the above over the eight available U positions. Since at least 50% of the cerium ions have been oxidized to the $4+$ state, the charge density is higher in this case as compared to $Ce^{3+}X$. One could rationalize the results by the following argument: since calcination was done in air in a muffle furnace, water was not excluded from site U as in the $Ce^{3+}X$ zeolite. These oxygen species which occupy the U positions along with the greater cationic separation compensate for the additional charge density and thus allow essentially the same cationic distribution. Electrostatic calculations of the type used above and assuming all cerium ions exist in the $4+$ state, indicate again that a hydroxyl ion is necessary in U, since without~ it a net repulsive force results and with it a net attractive force exists. These results reemphasize the importance of electrostatic interactions and also give support to the proposal of Rabo et al. (8) that the presence of water or hydroxyl groups add to the structural stability of the sieve (LaY in their case) through the formation of a RE-OH (or O^{2-})-RE complex within the sodalite cages.

The following reactions could reflect the processes occurring during the calcination in a'r of the hydrated CeX zeolite: during calcination a dehydration and partial oxidation process take place. Due to the high charge of the oxidized cerium ion, it is highly probable that an ion of the type $(CeOH)³⁺$ will result. This process is described by the following scheme:

$$
2 \text{ Ce}^{+3}_{aq} + H_2O + 1/2 O_2 \xrightarrow{\Delta} 2 \text{dehydration} 2 (CeOH)_{aq}^{+3}
$$

--

calculations one must assume that electrostatic considerations play an important role in determining the resulting cation distribution.

The cation distribution of CeX calcined in air is not much different from that of the $N₂$ calcined material. Since there are, per unit cell, 3.2 and 23.7 cations in S1 and S2 sites, the only difference is the lack of cerium ions in S5. On the average six nonframework oxygen atoms are distributed

As previously mentioned, the presence of hydrolyzed ionic species in faujasite type zeolites has been already indicated by Smith *et al.* (9) for LaY. The probability for the existence of such an ionic species will obviously increase with increasing positive charge of the cation. The (CeOH) 3+ ions located in the sodalite cage could, in turn, interact with nonoxidized cerium ions, resulting in cerium-oxygen complexes, as shown in the following schemes:

The formation of $(CeOH)^{2+}$ ions and their interaction with $(CeOH)^{3+}$ ions should also be taken into consideration.

Since on the average there are only 3 cerium cations/sodalite cage in both these samples and $\frac{1}{4}$ or less cerium ion/D6MR, the most probable distribution would be for site Sl to be occupied by cerium when the corresponding 52 site is not. This distribution prevents any cerium to cerium distance of less than 4.2 A.

The resemblance of $Ce^{3+}X$ (N₂ calcined) to $(Ce^{4+}, Ce^{3+})X$ (air calcined) ends with the cationic distribution. As mentioned above, the greater cationic repulsion in the Ce4+ case, which resulted in an increase of the Ce-Ce distance from 4.23 Å in the N₂ calcined material to 4.82 Å in the air calcined case, is probably the cause of the large distortions which occurred in the faujasite framework. These distortions were of such a nature as to decrease the amount of puckering in the six-membered rings which comprise the sodalite cages and are shown in Fig. 4. The mutual repulsion of the Ce*+ ions can alternatively be described as a recession of these ions into the six-membered rings of the hexagonal prisms. The recession causes an opening of these rings as displayed by the increase of the $O(3)$ to $O(3)$ distance from 3.88 Å in $Ce^{3+}X$ to 4.43 Å in $(Ce^{4+}, Ce^{3+})X$. The cerium ion in S2 is 1.23A from the plane of the three $O(3)$ atoms in the N_2 calcined material and 0.88A in the air calcined material. Assuming a 1.40 k radius for oxygen, the opening in the 6MR leading into the hexagonal prism, as measured by the effective opening defined by these three O(3) atoms, has increased from a radius of 0.8 to 1.16 Å. In addition, this shift in the O(3) position has resulted in a

lengthening of the cerium in S1 to $O(3)$ distance to 3.09 A.

In summary, this work has shown that the structures of the $Ce^{3+}X$ sieves are somewhat different from that of $La^{3+}X$ and that the cation positions observed for a particular sample depend upon the previous treatment of the sample. Also, as one would expect the structure of the sieve is altered significantly when the cerium is oxidized to the tetravalent state.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors express their sincere thanks to Dr. P. K. Maher for his support of this work and for his helpful discussions and Professor R. M. Barrer for his comments and suggestions. We thank the Davison Division of W. R. Grace for its support of this work. We are also indebted to Mr. Coelho for his assistance in data processing and for computer programming, and are deeply grateful to our Analytical Department for the X-ray spectrographic analyses,

REFERENCES

- 1. BERGERHOFF, G., BAUR, W. H., AND NOWACKI, W., Neues Jahrb. Mineral., Monatsh. 1958, 193.
- 2. BERGERHOFF, G., KOYAMA, H., AND NOWACKI, W., Experienta 12, 418 (1956).
- 3. BROUSSARD, L., AND SHOEMAKER, D. P., J. Amer. $Chem. Soc. 82, 1041 (1960).$
- 4. BAUR, W. H., Amer. Mineral. 49, 697 (1964).
- 5. EULENBERGER, G. R., SHOEMAKER, D. P., AND KEIL, J. G., J. Phys. Chem. 71, 1812 (1967).
- 6. VERYARD, K. J., Brit. 1,090,563, Esso Res. and Eng. Co., Appl. March 16, 1965.
- 7. RABO, J. A., PICKERT, P. E., STAMIRES, D. N.: AND BOYLE, J. E., Actes Congr. Int. Catal. Znd, 1960 1961, 2055.
- 8. RABO, J. A., ANGELL, C. L., AND SCHOMAKER. V., Proc. Int. Congr. Catal., 4th, Moscow, 1968.
- 9. SMITH, J. V., BENNETT, J. M., AND FLANIGEN, E. M., Nature (London) 215, 241 (1967).

or

- 10. OLSON, D. H., KOKOTAILO, G. T., AND CHAR-NELL, J. F., J. Colloid Interface Sci. 28, 305 (1968).
- 11. BENNETT, J. M., AND SMITH, J. V., $Mat.$ $Res.$ Bull. 3, 865 (1968).
- 12. BENNETT, J. M., AND SMITH, J. V., Mat. Res. Bull. 4, 7 (1969).
- 13. BENNETT, J. M., AND SMITH, J. V., $Mat. Res.$ Bull. 4, 77 (1969).
- 14. BENNETT, J. M., AND SMITH, J. V., $Mat.$ Res. $Bull. 4, 343 (1969).$
- 15. AHMED, F. R., "NRC Crystallographic Programs for the IBM/360 System," Nat. Res. Counc. Can. Ottawa, 1968.
- 16. HAMILTON. W. C., POWOW, Brookhaven Kat. Lab., Brookhaven, N.Y. 1962.
- l?'. CRUICKSHANK, D. W. J., in "Computing Methods and the Phase Problem in X-Ray Crystal Analysis" (Pepinsky et al., eds.), p. 45. Pergamon Press. Inc., New York, 1961.
- 18. "International Tables for X-Ray Crystallography" (Henry, N. F. M. and Lonsdale, K., eds.), Vol. 3, pp. 202-203, Kynoch Press, Birmingham, England. 1962.
- $19.$ JONES, J. B., $Acta$ Crystallogr. Sect. B $24, 355$ (1968).
- 20. SMITH, J. V., AND BAILEY, S. W.. Acta Crystallogr. 16, 801 (1963).